IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.45978 of 2008
MUNNA HUSSAIN
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2. 27.11.2008 Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the State.

The petitioner apprehends arrest in a Police case under Sections 395 of the Penal Code.

The submission is that the FIR is against unknown. The petitioner has been named on suspicion only. There is no recovery from him.

That the petitioner has antecedent and is on bail, combined with the fact that the vehicle suspected to have been used in the episode has been recovered from his possession of which he claims himself to be the owner when he has been named by co-accused are sufficient grounds to reject the application.

This application is rejected.

Any application for regular bail on behalf of the petitioner shall be considered by the court below on its own merit without being prejudiced by the present order.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Snkumar/-

(Navin Sinha,J.)